arXiv:1007.1443v3 [math.DG] 15 Oct 2010

CLASSES OF 3-DIMENSIONAL ALMOST KENMOTSU MANIFOLDS SATISFYING GENERALIZED NULLITY CONDITIONS

VINCENZO SALTARELLI

Abstract. In this paper we study 3-dimensional almost Kenmotsu manifolds satisfying two special types of nullity conditions which depend on two smooth functions k, μ. A complete local description of such manifolds is established, building local "models" for each of them and noting that a given aforementioned manifolds is locally isomorphic to the corresponding model.

1. Introduction

The so-called nullity conditions on different kind of almost contact metric manifolds have known an increasing interest in the last 15 years and various recent papers have appeared on this topic. The first of such conditions, called k-nullity condition with $k \in \mathbb{R}$, appeared in literature with the introduction of the k-nullity distributions on an arbitrary Riemannian manifold. More recently, D. E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos and B. J. Papantoniou have studied in [2] a nullity condition, called (k, μ)-nullity condition, on a contact metric manifold $(M^{2n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$. Afterwards, a further generalization of this condition has been considered in [7], [8], [12], by requiring that the Riemannian curvature R of g satisfies

$$R_{XY}\xi = k\big(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\big) + \mu\big(\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY\big), \tag{1.1}$$

for all vector fields X, Y and for some k, μ in the ring $\mathfrak{F}(M)$ of the smooth functions on M, where $h := \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi$, $\mathcal{L}$ denoting the Lie differentiation. In particular, in [12] it is proved that only in dimension 3 there are examples of contact metric manifold satisfying (1.1) with k, μ non-constant functions. Such a problematic has been studied by P. Dacko and Z. Olszak in the setting of almost cosymplectic manifolds as well ([3]).

In [5] the authors studied almost Kenmotsu manifolds satisfying (1.1) with $k, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, proving that $h = 0$ and $k = -1$. For this reason, they introduce and study a modified nullity condition involving the tensor $h' = h \circ \varphi$, which has been later generalized in [14], requiring that ξ belongs to the generalized $(k, \mu)'$-nullity distribution, i.e. for all vector fields X, Y

$$R_{XY}\xi = k\big(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\big) + \mu\big(\eta(Y)h'X - \eta(X)h'Y\big), \tag{1.2}$$

for some $k, \mu \in \mathfrak{F}(M)$. If an almost Kenmotsu manifold satisfies (1.2) with $k, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, then $k \leq -1$ ([5]). When $k = -1$, then $h' = 0$ and M is locally a warped product of an almost Kähler manifold and an open interval. If $k < -1$, then $\mu = -2$ and h' admits 3 eigenvalues $\lambda, -\lambda, 0$, with 0 as simple eigenvalue and $\lambda = \sqrt{-1-k}$. In this case, the following classification theorem is established:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(M^{2n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost Kenmotsu manifold such that ξ belongs to the $(k, -2)'$-nullity distribution and $h' \neq 0$. Then, M^{2n+1} is locally isometric to the warped product $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}(k - 2\lambda) \times_f \mathbb{R}^n$ or $B^{n+1}(k + 2\lambda) \times_{f'} \mathbb{R}^n$, where $f = ce^{(1-\lambda)t}$ and $f' = c'e^{(1+\lambda)t}$, with c, c' positive constants.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C15, 53C25, 57R55.

Key words and phrases. Almost Kenmotsu manifolds, generalized nullity distributions.

In [14] A. M. Pastore and the author proved that, if (1.1) or (1.2) is satisfied by an almost Kenmotsu manifold M with $dim\ M \geq 5$, then k, μ depend only on the direction of ξ and the curvature is completely determined. Furthermore, explicit examples of almost Kenmotsu manifolds whose Riemannian curvature tensor verifies (1.1) and (1.2) with suitable non-constant k, μ are given. In this paper a deeper study of the three dimensional case is carried on. After a section containing some background on almost Kenmotsu manifolds and basic information about generalized nullity conditions on such manifolds, we will start by establishing some useful properties for the covariant derivatives of h and h'. Next, with section 4 we study a special class of 3-dimensional almost Kenmotsu manifolds satisfying (1.1), for which we are able to locally describe their structures. Section 5 deals an analogous situation for the class of almost Kenmotsu manifolds of dimension 3 which satisfy (1.2) with k verifying a suitable condition. A local classification and construction of any such manifold is provided, by using special charts. In the last section we prove that, if an almost Kenmotsu manifold M belongs to one of aforesaid classes, the leaves of the canonical foliation of M are flat Kählerian manifolds and, with an approach different from that used in the previous sections, we completely characterize the two considered classes.

All manifolds are assumed to be smooth and connected.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic data about almost Kenmotsu manifolds and the main properties obtained in [14] for almost Kenmotsu manifolds satisfying the generalized nullity conditions.

2.1. **Almost Kenmotsu manifolds.** An almost contact metric manifold is a $(2n+1)$-manifold M endowed with a structure (φ, ξ, η, g) given by a $(1,1)$-tensor field φ, a vector field ξ, a 1-form η and a Riemannian metric g satisfying $\varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \eta(\xi) = 1$ and $g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X,Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$, for any vector fields X and Y. With this structure it can be associate a 2-form Φ, called the fundamental 2-form, defined by $\Phi(X,Y) = g(X, \varphi Y)$ for any vector fields X and Y. The normality of an almost contact metric manifold is expressed by the vanishing of the tensor field $N = [\varphi, \varphi] + 2d\eta \otimes \xi$, where $[\varphi, \varphi]$ is the Nijenhuis torsion of φ. For more details, we refer to Blair's book [1].

An almost contact metric manifold M^{2n+1}, with structure (φ, ξ, η, g), is said to be *almost Kenmotsu manifold* if the 1-form η is closed and $d\Phi = 2\eta \wedge \Phi$. A normal almost Kennotsu manifold is a Kenmotsu manifold and it is well-known that it can be characterized through its Levi-Civita connection, by requiring $(\nabla_X \varphi)(Y) = g(\varphi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\varphi(X)$, for any vector fields X, Y.

Let $(M^{2n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost Kenmotsu manifold. Since $d\eta = 0$, the canonical distribution $\mathcal{D} = \ker(\eta)$ orthogonal to ξ is integrable. Denoting by $\mathcal{F}$ the 1-codimensional canonical foliation of M generated by $\mathcal{D}$, any leaf M' of $\mathcal{F}$ is orthogonal to ξ and a $2n$-dimensional φ-invariant submanifold of M, that is $\varphi X \in \Gamma(TM')$ for any $X \in \Gamma(TM')$, since $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{Im}\ \varphi$. The tensor field φ, therefore, induces an almost complex structure J on M' and, if G is the Riemannian metric induced by g on M', by the compatibility of g with the almost contact structure, the pair (J, G) defines an almost Hermitian structure on M'. From $d\Phi = 2\eta \wedge \Phi$ we infer that (J, G) is an almost Kählerian structure on M'. Furthermore, we have $\mathcal{L}_\xi \eta = 0$ and $[\xi, X] \in \mathcal{D}$ for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$. The Levi-Civita connection fulfills the following relation (cf. [1]):

$$2g\big((\nabla_X \varphi)Y, Z\big) = 2\eta(Z)g(\varphi X, Y) - 2\eta(Y)g(\varphi X, Z) + g(N(Y,Z), \varphi X), \tag{2.1}$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z, from which we deduce that $\nabla_\xi \varphi = 0$, so that $\nabla_\xi \xi = 0$ and $\nabla_\xi X \in \mathcal{D}$ for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$. From Lemma 2.2 in [11] we also have

$$(\nabla_X \varphi)Y + (\nabla_{\varphi X} \varphi)\varphi Y = -2g(X, \varphi Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\varphi X - \eta(Y)hX, \tag{2.2}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Now, we consider the $(1,1)$-tensor fields h and h', which are both symmetric operators such that $h(\xi) = h'(\xi) = 0$ and satisfy

$$\eta \circ h = \eta \circ h' = 0, \quad h \circ \varphi + \varphi \circ h = 0, \quad h' \circ \varphi + \varphi \circ h' = 0, \quad \mathrm{tr}(h) = \mathrm{tr}(h') = 0.$$

It follows that non-vanishing h and h' have the non-zero eigenvalues with opposite sign; moreover, h' and h admit the same eigenvalues, but different eigenspaces, that will be denote by $[\lambda]'$ and $[\lambda]$, respectively. Moreover, for any vector field X, (2.1) implies the following relation

$$\nabla_X \xi = X - \eta(X)\xi - \varphi h X. \tag{2.3}$$

In [11] it is proved that the integral submanifolds of $\mathcal{D}$ are totally umbilical submanifolds of M^{2n+1} if and only if $h = 0$, which is equivalent to the vanishing of h'. In this case the manifold is locally a warped product $M' \times_f N^{2n}$, where N^{2n} is an almost Kähler manifold, M' is an open interval with coordinate t, and $f(t) = ce^t$ for some positive constant c (see [4]). If, in addition, the integral submanifolds of $\mathcal{D}$ are Kähler, then M^{2n+1} is a Kenmotsu manifold. In particular, a 3-dimensional almost Kenmotsu manifold with $h = 0$ is a Kenmotsu manifold.

2.2. **Main properties for the generalized nullity conditions.** As proved in [4, 5], the Riemannian curvature R of an almost Kenmotsu manifold satisfies the following general relations:

$$R_{YZ}\xi = \eta(Y)(Z - \varphi h Z) - \eta(Z)(Y - \varphi h Y) + (\nabla_Z \varphi h)Y - (\nabla_Y \varphi h)Z \tag{2.4}$$

$$\varphi l \varphi - l = 2(-\varphi^2 + h^2) \tag{2.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &g(R_{\xi X}Y, Z) - g(R_{\xi X}\varphi Y, \varphi Z) + g(R_{\xi \varphi X}Y, \varphi Z) + g(R_{\xi \varphi X}\varphi Y, Z) \\ &= 2(\nabla_{hX}\Phi)(Y, Z) + 2\eta(Y)g(Z, X - \varphi h X) - 2\eta(Z)g(Y, X - \varphi h X), \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where l is the symmetric operator defined by $l(X) := R_{X\xi}\xi$, for any vector field X. The above relations can be also written in terms of h' since $\varphi \circ h = -h'$, $h = \varphi \circ h'$ and $h^2 = h'^2$.

In particular, we observe that, if ξ belongs either to generalized (k, μ)-nullity distribution or to generalized $(k, \mu)'$-nullity distribution, (2.4) implies

$$(\nabla_X h')Y - (\nabla_Y h')X = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$. Furthermore, in [14] the following relations are found:

$$h^2 = h'^2 = (k+1)\varphi^2, \qquad Q(\xi) = 2nk\xi, \tag{2.8}$$

Q being the Ricci operator. Hence, it follows that at every point of an almost Kenmotsu manifold:

a) $k \leq -1$;
b) $k = -1$ if and only if $h = 0$ or, equivalently, $h' = 0$;
c) if $k < -1$, then the eigenvalues of h and h' are 0 of multiplicity 1 and $\lambda = \sqrt{-1-k}$ and $-\lambda$, both of them with multiplicity n.

In the case of the (k, μ)-nullity condition we also have

$$\nabla_\xi h = -2h - \mu \varphi h, \qquad d\lambda(\xi) = -2\lambda, \qquad dk(\xi) = -4(k+1). \tag{2.9}$$

Whereas, the belonging of ξ to the $(k, \mu)'$-nullity distribution yields

$$\nabla_\xi h' = -(\mu+2)h', \qquad d\lambda(\xi) = -\lambda(\mu+2), \qquad dk(\xi) = -2(k+1)(\mu+2). \tag{2.10}$$

Here we prove the following additional result.

Proposition 2.1. *Let* $(M^{2n+1},\varphi,\xi,\eta,g)$ *be an almost Kenmotsu manifold. If* ξ *belongs to the generalized* (k,μ)*-nullity distribution, then one has:*

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi h = 2\lambda^2\varphi - 2h + \mu h', \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi h' = -\mu h - 2h'. \tag{2.11}$$

If ξ *belongs to the generalized* $(k,\mu)'$*-nullity distribution, then one has:*

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi h' = -(\mu+2)h', \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi h = 2\lambda^2\varphi - (\mu+2)h. \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. We remind that, given a $(1,1)$-tensor field T on M, the following general relation holds

$$\mathcal{L}_X T = \nabla_X T + T\circ\nabla X - (\nabla X)\circ T.$$

for any $X\in\Gamma(TM)$. Applying it to $T=h$ and $X=\xi$, the required relation follows from the first equation in (2.9). Now, using the two equations just proved and the first relation of (2.8) in

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi h' = \mathcal{L}_\xi(h\circ\varphi) = (\mathcal{L}_\xi h)\varphi + h(\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi)$$

we get the second formula in (2.11). Analogously, taking into account that $h=\varphi\circ h'$, the relations in (2.12) are obtained. □

3. TECHNICAL LEMMAS

We first establish general formulas which hold on every almost Kenmotsu manifold, without any restriction on the dimension.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $(M^{2n+1},\varphi,\xi,\eta,g)$ *be an almost Kenmotsu manifold. Then, for any orthonormal frame* $\{X_i\}_{1\le i\le 2n+1}$*, one has*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1}(\nabla_{X_i}h')X_i = Q\xi + 2n\xi \tag{3.1}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1}(\nabla_{X_i}\varphi)X_i = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Let $\{X_i\}_{1\le i\le 2n+1}$ be an orthonormal frame. For any vector field X, putting $Y=X_i$, replacing Z by φX in (2.4) and taking the inner product with X_i, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} g(R_{X_i\varphi X}\xi, X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1}\eta(X_i)g(\varphi X - hX, X_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} g((\nabla_{X_i}h')\varphi X, X_i)\\ + \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} g((\nabla_{\varphi X}h')X_i, X_i).\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

By definition of the Ricci tensor, the left-hand side is equal to $g(Q\xi,\varphi X)$; while the first term on the right-hand side vanishes, since $\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1}\eta(X_i)X_i=\xi$ and $h\xi=\varphi\xi=0$. Since $\mathrm{tr}(h')=0$, the last term vanishes as well. Therefore, using the symmetry of $\nabla_{X_i}h'$ and the skew-symmetry of φ, (3.3) reduces to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1}\varphi(\nabla_{X_i}h')X_i = \varphi Q\xi.$$

Applying φ to this equation, using $\varphi^2 = -I + \eta\otimes\xi$ and taking into account that, by definition, $g(Q\xi,\xi) = Ric(\xi,\xi) = \mathrm{tr}\ l$, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1}(\nabla_{X_i}h')X_i - \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1}\eta((\nabla_{X_i}h')X_i)\xi = Q\xi - (\mathrm{tr}\ l)\xi. \tag{3.4}$$

Computing the second term on the left-hand side and using (2.3), since $\mathrm{tr}(h') = 0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \eta((\nabla_{X_i} h')X_i)\xi &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} g((\nabla_{X_i} h')X_i, \xi)\xi = -\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} g(X_i, h'(\nabla_{X_i}\xi))\xi \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} g(X_i, h'X_i + h'^2 X_i)\xi = -\mathrm{tr}(h')\xi - \mathrm{tr}(h'^2)\xi \\ &= -\mathrm{tr}(h'^2)\xi. \qquad (3.5)\end{aligned}$$

Now, (2.5) implies $K(\varphi X, \xi) + K(X, \xi) = -2 - 2g(h^2 X, X)$, from which it follows that $Ric(\xi, \xi) = -2n - \mathrm{tr}(h^2)$. Hence $\mathrm{tr}(h'^2) = \mathrm{tr}(h^2) = -2n - \mathrm{tr}\ l$ and so, substituting (3.5) in (3.4), we get (3.1). In order to obtain (3.2), we point out that the left-hand side is independent of the particular choice of the orthonormal frame. Therefore, we may compute it by choosing a φ-basis $\{E_i, \varphi E_i, \xi\}_{1\le i\le n}$. By using (2.2) with $X = Y = E_i$, since $\eta(E_i) = 0$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla_{E_i}\varphi)E_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla_{\varphi E_i}\varphi)\varphi E_i = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta(E_i)(\varphi E_i + hE_i) = 0,$$

from which, being $\nabla_\xi \varphi = 0$, (3.2) follows. □

The next lemma concerns the 3-dimensional case and for its proof we need the formula

$$(\nabla_X \varphi)Y = g(\varphi X + hX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)(\varphi X + hX)\,,\ \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM) \qquad (3.6)$$

which holds for any 3-dimensional almost Kenmotsu manifold, since the leaves of $\mathcal{D}$, being of dimension 2, are Kähler manifolds (cf. [6]).

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(M^3, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost Kenmotsu manifold. Then, the following formula holds*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} (\nabla_{X_i} h)X_i = \varphi Q\xi$$

where $\{X_i\}_{1\le i\le 2n+1}$ is an arbitrary orthonormal frame.

Proof. The differentiation of the relation $h\varphi = -\varphi h$, together with (3.6), for any vector fields X, Y, yields

$$(\nabla_X h)\varphi Y + \varphi(\nabla_X h)Y = \eta(Y)(h\varphi X + h^2 X) - \{g(\varphi X, hY) + g(hX, hY)\}\xi.$$

Taking $X = Y = X_i$, summing on i and using $\mathrm{tr}(h\varphi) = 0$ and $h(\xi) = 0$, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \{(\nabla_{X_i} h)\varphi X_i + \varphi(\nabla_{X_i} h)X_i\} = -\mathrm{tr}(h^2)\xi. \qquad (3.7)$$

Now, (3.1) can be equivalently written in terms of h as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} (\nabla_{X_i} h)\varphi X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{3} h(\nabla_{X_i}\varphi)X_i = Q\xi + 2\xi,$$

from which, using (3.2), we get $\sum_{i=1}^{3} (\nabla_{X_i} h)\varphi X_i = Q\xi + 2\xi$. Substituting this expression in (3.7), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \varphi(\nabla_{X_i} h)X_i = -(2 + \mathrm{tr}(h^2))\xi - Q\xi.$$

Finally, we get the required result acting by φ and using $\sum_{i=1}^{3} g((\nabla_{X_i} h)X_i, \xi) = 0$, which, by direct computation, follows from the fact that $g(h^2 X, \varphi X) = 0$ for all vector fields X and $\mathrm{tr}(h) = 0$ □

Lemma 3.3. *If $(M^3, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an almost Kenmotsu manifold such that ξ belongs to one of the two generalized nullity distributions, then one has*

$$T(\text{grad } \mu) = \text{grad } \kappa - (\xi\kappa)\xi, \tag{3.8}$$

where T is either h or h', according to which nullity condition is verified.

Proof. Since we know that every 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold has vanishing Weyl conformal tensor field, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{XY}Z &= g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY + g(QY,Z)X \\ &\quad - g(QX,Z)Y - \frac{Sc}{2}\big(g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\big), \end{aligned}$$

where Sc is the scalar curvature of g. Taking into account that $Q\xi = 2k\xi$ (cf. (2.8) for n=1), we have

$$R_{X\xi}\xi = QX - 2k\eta(X)\xi + 2kX - 2k\eta(X)\xi - \frac{Sc}{2}\big(X - \eta(X)\xi\big).$$

Comparing this expression with $R_{X\xi}\xi = k(X - \eta(X)\xi) + \mu TX$, obtained by means of (1.1) if $T = h$ and (1.2) if $T = h'$, we get

$$Q = aI + b\eta \otimes \xi + \mu T, \tag{3.9}$$

where $a = \frac{Sc}{2} - k$ and $b = 3k - \frac{Sc}{2}$. We now compute the right-hand side of the well-known formula

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{grad } Sc = \sum_{i=1}^{3}(\nabla_{X_i}Q)X_i,$$

where $\{X_1 = \xi, X_2 = X, X_3 = \varphi X\}$ is an orthonormal local frame adapted to the structure. Using (3.9) and (2.3), since $\eta(\nabla_X X + \nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi X) = -2$ and $a + b = 2k$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{3}(\nabla_{X_i}Q)X_i &= \sum_{i=1}^{3}(X_i(a)X_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{3}(X_i(\mu)TX_i) + \mu\sum_{i=1}^{3}(\nabla_{X_i}T)\,X_i \\ &\quad + \eta(\text{grad } b)\xi - b\,(\eta(\nabla_X X))\,\xi - b\,(\eta(\nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi X))\,\xi \\ &= \text{grad } a + T(\text{grad } \mu) + \mu\sum_{i=1}^{3}(\nabla_{X_i}T)\,X_i + 2\xi(k)\xi - \xi(a)\xi + 2b\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Now, in the case of the (k,μ)-nullity condition $T = h$ and Lemma 3.2 implies $\sum_{i=1}^{3}(\nabla_{X_i}T)\,X_i = 0$. Being $a = \frac{Sc}{2} - k$, from the above equation we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{grad } Sc = \frac{1}{2}\text{grad } Sc - \text{grad } k + h(\text{grad } \mu) + 2\xi(k)\xi - \xi(a)\xi + 2b\xi$$

that is

$$\xi(k)\xi - \text{grad } k + h(\text{grad } \mu) + \xi(k)\xi - \xi(a)\xi + 2b\xi = 0.$$

Since the vector field $\xi(k)\xi - \text{grad } k + h(\text{grad } \mu)$ is orthogonal to ξ, (3.8) with $T = h$ follows. If ξ belongs to the $(k,\mu)'$-nullity distribution, then $T = h'$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{3}(\nabla_{X_i}T)\,X_i = 2(k+1)\xi$, as it follows from (3.1). Similar arguments as in previous case show that (3.8) is still true. $\square$

4. A class of almost Kenmotsu generalized (k,μ)-spaces

In this section, we are interested in almost Kenmotsu manifolds of dimension 3 verifying the generalized (k,μ)-nullity condition with k such that $dk \wedge \eta = 0$.
We remark that for this kind of manifolds the following two typical situations should be treated: either $k = -1$ identically on M, case in which M is Kenmotsu and locally a warped product of a Kähler manifold and an open interval and the nullity condition reduces to k-nullity condition, or $k < -1$ everywhere on M. This follows from the following fact.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(M^3, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost Kenmotsu generalized (k,μ)-space such that $dk \wedge \eta = 0$. If $k = -1$ at a certain point of M, then $k = -1$ everywhere on M and h vanishes identically.*

Proof. Let Z be the closed subset of M containing the points q at which $k = -1$, which is non-empty by hypothesis, and fix $p \in Z$. Then, $\lambda(p) = \sqrt{-1-k(p)} = 0$ and $dk \wedge \eta = 0$ implies the same condition for λ. Therefore, choosing a coordinate neighbourhood U around p with coordinate (x,y,t) such that $\xi = \partial/\partial t$ and $\eta = dt$, we have that λ restricted to U depends only on t and it satisfies the linear differential equation $d\lambda/dt = -2\lambda$, whose general solution is given by $\lambda = ce^{-2t}$ with $c \geq 0$ a real constant. Since $\lambda(p) = 0$, we get $c = 0$, hence $\lambda = 0$ and $k = -1$ on the whole U. It follows that $U \subset Z$ and, consequently, Z is also an open subset of M. Thus, $Z = M$ since M is connected. □

In the sequel, we restrict our investigations to the case when $k < -1$ in view of the above considerations.

We begin to determine the Levi-Civita connection of a 3-dimensional almost Kenmotsu manifold satisfying the generalized (k,μ)-nullity condition.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $(M^3, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost Kenmotsu manifold such that ξ belongs to the generalized (k,μ)-nullity distribution with $h \neq 0$. Then, for any unit eigenvector X of h with eigenvalue $\lambda = \sqrt{-1-k}$, one has*

1) $\nabla_X \xi = X - \lambda\varphi X; \quad \nabla_{\varphi X}\xi = \varphi X - \lambda X.$
2) $\nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi X = \frac{X(\lambda)}{2\lambda}X - \xi; \quad \nabla_X X = \frac{\varphi X(\lambda)}{2\lambda}\varphi X - \xi.$
3) $\nabla_X \varphi X = \lambda\xi - \frac{\varphi X(\lambda)}{2\lambda}X; \quad \nabla_{\varphi X} X = \lambda\xi - \frac{X(\lambda)}{2\lambda}\varphi X.$
4) $\nabla_\xi X = -\frac{\mu}{2}\varphi X; \quad \nabla_\xi \varphi X = \frac{\mu}{2}X.$

Proof. The eigendistributions $[\lambda]$ and $[-\lambda]$ of h are both 1-dimensional and integrable; moreover, fixed a unit vector field $X \in [\lambda]$, we notice that $\{X, \varphi X, \xi\}$ is an orthonormal (local) frame for TM. The formulas in 1) are immediate consequences of (2.3). By (2.8), we have $\varphi Q\xi = 0$, so that Lemma 3.2 gives

$$(\nabla_X h)X + (\nabla_{\varphi X} h)\varphi X = 0,$$

that is

$$X(\lambda)X + \lambda\nabla_X X - h(\nabla_X X) - \varphi X(\lambda)\varphi X - \lambda\nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi X - h(\nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi X) = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

By scalar multiplication with X, we get $X(\lambda) - g(\nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi X, \lambda X) - \lambda g(\nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi X, X) = 0$, from which, being $\lambda \neq 0$, it follows that $g(\nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi X, X) = \frac{X(\lambda)}{2\lambda}$. Moreover, we have

$$g(\nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi X, \xi) = -g(\varphi X, \nabla_{\varphi X}\xi) = -g(\varphi X, \varphi X - \lambda X) = -1.$$

In this way, we obtain the first formula in 2). As for $\nabla_X X$, if we take the inner product of (4.1) with φX, we have $\lambda g(\nabla_X X, \varphi X) - g(\nabla_X X, -\lambda\varphi X) - \varphi X(\lambda) = 0$ and hence $g(\nabla_X X, \varphi X) = \frac{\varphi X(\lambda)}{2\lambda}$. The component of $\nabla_X X$ along ξ is given by $g(\nabla_X X, \xi) = -g(X, X-$

$\lambda\varphi X) = -1$, and the second formula in 2) is obtained. The equations in 3) follow from 2), considering that the following relations hold:

$$g(\nabla_X \varphi X, X) = -g(\varphi X, \nabla_X X), \quad g(\nabla_{\varphi X} X, \varphi X) = -g(X, \nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi X),$$

$$g(\nabla_X \varphi X, \xi) = -g(\varphi X, \nabla_X \xi) = -g(\varphi X, -X - \lambda\varphi X) = \lambda$$

$$g(\nabla_{\varphi X} X, \xi) = -g(X, \nabla_{\varphi X}\xi) = -g(X, \varphi X - \lambda X) = \lambda.$$

For the value of $\nabla_\xi X$, obviously we have $g(\nabla_\xi X, X) = 0$ and $g(\nabla_\xi X, \xi) = 0$ since $\nabla_\xi \xi = 0$. It remains only to compute the component along φX which may be obtained by means of (2.9). We have

$$\xi(\lambda)X + \lambda\nabla_\xi X - h(\nabla_\xi X) = -2\lambda X - \mu\varphi(\lambda X).$$

The inner product with φX gives $g(\lambda\nabla_\xi X, \varphi X) + g(\nabla_\xi X, \lambda\varphi X) = -\mu\lambda$, from which, being $\lambda \neq 0$, it follows that $g(\nabla_\xi X, \varphi X) = -\frac{\mu}{2}$, and so the desired formula. Finally, taking into account that $\nabla_\xi \varphi = 0$, we get $\nabla_\xi \varphi X = \frac{\mu}{2}X$, thus concluding the proof. □

Locally, an almost Kenmotsu generalized (k, μ)-space with $dk \wedge \eta = 0$ and $k < -1$ can be described as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(M^3, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost Kenmotsu generalized (k, μ)-manifold with $dk \wedge \eta = 0$ and $k < -1$. Then, in a neighbourhood U of every point $p \in M^3$ there exist coordinates x, y, z with $z < -1$ and an orthonormal frame $(\xi, X, \varphi X)$ of eigenvectors of h with $hX = \lambda X$, such that on U $k = z$, μ only depends on z and*

$$X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \varphi X = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad \xi = a\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 4(z+1)\frac{\partial}{\partial z},$$

where $a = x - \frac{1}{2}(\mu + 2\sqrt{-1-z}) + f$ and $b = y + \frac{1}{2}(\mu - 2\sqrt{-1-z}) + r$, f, r being smooth functions of z on U.

Proof. First of all, notice that the assumed condition on k and (3.8) imply that also grad μ belongs to $[\xi]$, since $h \neq 0$ and $\ker(h) = [\xi]$. Moreover, we have $Z(\lambda) = 0$, for all $Z \in \mathcal{D}$. By Proposition 4.1, given an orthonormal local frame $(\xi, X, \varphi X)$ with X eigenvector of h corresponding to the eigenvalue λ, we get $[X, \varphi X] = -\frac{\varphi X(\lambda)}{2\lambda}X + \frac{X(\lambda)}{2\lambda}\varphi X$ which implies $[X, \varphi X] = 0$. It follows that, fixed a point $p \in M^3$, there exist coordinates (x', y', t) on an open neighbourhood V of p such that

$$X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x'}, \quad \varphi X = \frac{\partial}{\partial y'} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = a\frac{\partial}{\partial x'} + b\frac{\partial}{\partial y'} + c\frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$

where a, b, c are smooth functions on V with $c \neq 0$ everywhere, because of the linear independence of ξ from the other two vector fields. Now, from the conditions $[X, \xi] \in \mathcal{D}$ and $[\varphi X, \xi] \in \mathcal{D}$ we deduce that $\frac{\partial c}{\partial x'} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial c}{\partial y'} = 0$. Therefore, if we consider on V the linearly independent vector fields X, φX and $W := c\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, we have

$$[X, \varphi X] = 0, \quad [X, W] = 0, \quad [\varphi X, W] = 0.$$

This means that there exists a coordinate system $\{U, (x, y, z')\}$ around p in V such that $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, $\varphi X = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $W = \frac{\partial}{\partial z'}$. Thus, on the open set U we have $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, $\varphi X = \frac{\partial}{\partial y'} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $\xi = a\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z'}$, as it follows from the fact that $\frac{\partial}{\partial z'} = W = c\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \xi - a\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - b\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. From (2.9), since $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} = X(\lambda) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial y} = \varphi X(\lambda) = 0$, it follows that $\lambda = c' e^{-2z'}$ and $k = -1 - \lambda^2 = -1 - ce^{-4z'}$, for some real constants $c', c > 0$. The change of coordinates $z = -1 - ce^{-4z'}$ gives a chart $\{U, (x, y, z)\}$ at p such that $k = z < -1$, $\mu = \mu(z)$ and

$$X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \varphi X = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = a\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 4(z+1)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

To conclude the proof, we have to calculate the functions a, b. To this aim, we have

$$[\xi, X] = -\frac{\partial a}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial b}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \qquad [\xi, \varphi X] = -\frac{\partial a}{\partial y}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial b}{\partial y}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

On the other hand, by using Proposition 4.1, one has

$$[\xi, X] = -X + \left(\lambda - \frac{\mu}{2}\right)\varphi X, \qquad [\xi, \varphi X] = \left(\lambda + \frac{\mu}{2}\right) X - \varphi X.$$

The comparison of these relations with the previous leads to

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = 1, \qquad \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} = -\left(\lambda + \frac{\mu}{2}\right), \qquad \frac{\partial b}{\partial x} = \frac{\mu}{2} - \lambda, \qquad \frac{\partial b}{\partial y} = 1.$$

By integration of this system of differential equations, being λ, μ functions only depending on z, we get $a = x - \frac{1}{2}(\mu + 2\sqrt{-1-z}) + f$ and $b = y + \frac{1}{2}(\mu - 2\sqrt{-1-z}) + r$, for some functions $f = f(z), r = r(z)$. $\square$

The previous result allows us to obtain a complete local classification of almost Kenmotsu generalized (k, μ)-spaces with $dk \wedge \eta = 0$ and $k < -1$. In fact, we are going to construct in $\mathbb{R}^3$ a model for each of them as follows.

Let M be the open submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^3$ defined by $M := \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | z < -1\}$ and $\mu, f, r : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be three smooth functions of z. Let us denote again by x, y, z the coordinates induced on M by the standard ones on $\mathbb{R}^3$. We consider on M

$$\xi = \alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \beta\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 4(z+1)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \qquad \eta = -\frac{1}{4(1+z)}dz,$$

the Riemannian metric g given by

$$g = dx \otimes dx + dy \otimes dy + (1 + \alpha^2 + \beta^2)\eta \otimes \eta - \alpha(dx \otimes \eta + \eta \otimes dx) - \beta(dy \otimes \eta + \eta \otimes dy)$$

and the $(1,1)$-tensor field φ represented, with respect to the global coordinate vector fields, by the following matrix

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -\beta/\gamma \\ 1 & 0 & \alpha/\gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\alpha = x - \frac{1}{2}(\mu + 2\sqrt{-1-z}) + f(z)$, $\beta = y + \frac{1}{2}(\mu - 2\sqrt{-1-z}) + r(z)$ and $\gamma = 4(1+z)$. It is easy to check that $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an almost Kenmotsu manifold and that $\{e_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, e_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, e_3 = \xi\}$ make up a global φ-basis on M, that is a g-orthonormal global frame such that $\varphi e_1 = e_2$. Moreover, by direct computation, putting $\lambda = \sqrt{-1-k}$, we get $[e_1, e_2] = 0$, $[e_1, e_3] = e_1 - \left(\lambda - \frac{\mu}{2}\right) e_2$, $[e_2, e_3] = -\left(\lambda + \frac{\mu}{2}\right) e_1 + e_2$, and hence $he_1 = \lambda e_1$, $he_2 = -\lambda e_2$ and $he_3 = 0$. Now, we remark that, putting $X = e_1$ and using the Koszul's formula for the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of g, we find an orthonormal frame $(\xi, X, \varphi X)$ and the expressions of ∇ as described in Proposition 4.1. Using these formulas and the definition of the curvature tensor, we finally obtain that $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is a 3-dimensional almost Kenmotsu generalized (k, μ)-space with $k = z$.

5. A CLASS OF ALMOST KENMOTSU GENERALIZED $(k, \mu)'$-SPACES

Here we present a local description of the structure of a 3-dimensional almost Kenmotsu manifold satisfying (1.2) with k such that $dk \wedge \eta = 0$.

First of all, taking account of the second equation in (2.10) and arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we obtain:

Lemma 5.1. *Let $(M^3, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost Kenmotsu generalized $(k, \mu)'$-space with $dk \wedge \eta = 0$. If $k = -1$ at a certain point of M, then $k = -1$ everywhere on M and $h' = h = 0$. In particular, it follows that M is locally a warped product of an open interval and a Kähler manifold.*

Therefore, also for almost Kenmotsu generalized $(k,\mu)'$-spaces we shall discuss the case $k<-1$, or equivalently $h\neq 0$ everywhere. We first obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Let* (M^3,φ,ξ,η,g) *be an almost Kenmotsu manifold with* $h'\neq 0$ *such that* ξ *belongs to the generalized* $(k,\mu)'$*-nullity distribution. Then, for any unit* $X\in[\lambda]'$, $\lambda=\sqrt{-1-k}$, *one has*

1) $\nabla_X\xi=(1+\lambda)X;\quad \nabla_{\varphi X}\xi=(1-\lambda)\varphi X.$
2) $\nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi X=\frac{X(\lambda)}{2\lambda}X-(1-\lambda)\xi;\quad \nabla_X X=\frac{\varphi X(\lambda)}{2\lambda}\varphi X-(1+\lambda)\xi.$
3) $\nabla_X\varphi X=-\frac{\varphi X(\lambda)}{2\lambda}X;\quad \nabla_{\varphi X}X=-\frac{X(\lambda)}{2\lambda}\varphi X.$
4) $\nabla_\xi X=0;\quad \nabla_\xi\varphi X=0.$

Proof. Let X be a unit eigenvector of h' corresponding to the eigenvalue λ. Then, since the distributions $[\lambda]'$ and $[-\lambda]'$ are one-dimensional, $\{X,\varphi X,\xi\}$ is an orthonormal (local) frame. The property 1) is a direct consequence of (2.3). For 3), it is obvious that $g(\nabla_X\varphi X,\varphi X)=0$ and $g(\nabla_X\varphi X,\xi)=-g(\varphi X,(1+\lambda)X)=0$, so that $\nabla_X\varphi X\in\operatorname{span}(X)$. Equation (2.7) with $Y=\varphi X$ implies

$$-X(\lambda)\varphi X-\lambda\nabla_X\varphi X-h'(\nabla_X\varphi X)-\varphi X(\lambda)X-\lambda\nabla_{\varphi X}X+h'(\nabla_{\varphi X}X)=0. \tag{5.1}$$

By inner product with X, we get $-g(\lambda\nabla_X\varphi X,X)-g(\nabla_X\varphi X,\lambda X)-\varphi X(\lambda)=0$. Therefore, being $\lambda\neq 0$, we find $g(\nabla_X\varphi X,X)=-\frac{\varphi X(\lambda)}{2\lambda}$, which implies the first relation in 3). Analogously, $g(\nabla_{\varphi X}X,X)=0$, $g(\nabla_{\varphi X}X,\xi)=0$ and the scalar product of (5.1) with φX imply the second formula of 3). From 1) and 3) we get the equations in 2). Indeed, we have

$$g(\nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi X,X)=-g(\varphi X,\nabla_{\varphi X}X)=-g\left(\varphi X,-\tfrac{X(\lambda)}{2\lambda}\varphi X\right)=\tfrac{X(\lambda)}{2\lambda};$$

$$g(\nabla_X X,\varphi X)=-g(X,\nabla_X\varphi X)=-g\left(X,-\tfrac{\varphi X(\lambda)}{2\lambda}X\right)=\tfrac{\varphi X(\lambda)}{2\lambda};$$

$$g(\nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi X,\xi)=-g(\varphi X,\nabla_{\varphi X}\xi)=-g(\varphi X,(1-\lambda)\varphi X)=-(1-\lambda);$$

$$g(\nabla_X X,\xi)=-g(X,\nabla_X\xi)=-g(X,(1+\lambda)X)=-(1+\lambda).$$

Finally, since $g(\nabla_\xi X,\xi)=0$, we have $\nabla_\xi X\in\operatorname{span}(\varphi X)$. Using the first equation in (2.10), we obtain

$$\xi(\lambda)X+\lambda\nabla_\xi X-h'(\nabla_\xi X)=-\lambda(\mu+2)X.$$

Taking the scalar product with φX, we get $2\lambda g(\nabla_\xi X,\varphi X)=0$. Being $\lambda\neq 0$, we have $\nabla_\xi X=0$. From $\nabla_\xi\varphi=0$, the remaining relation follows. □

Theorem 5.1. *Let* (M^3,φ,ξ,η,g) *be an almost Kenmotsu generalized* $(k,\mu)'$*-space with* $dk\wedge\eta=0$ *and* $k<-1$. *Then, one has:*

- *(i) If* $\mu=-2$, *then* k *is constant.*
- *(ii) If* $\mu\neq-2$, *then in a neighbourhood* U *of every point* $p\in M^3$ *there exist coordinates* x,y,z *with* $z<-1$ *and an orthonormal frame* $(\xi,X,\varphi X)$ *of eigenvectors of* h' *with* $h'X=\lambda X$, *such that* $k=z$, μ *only depends on* z *on* U *and*

$$X=\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\quad \varphi X=\frac{\partial}{\partial y},\quad \xi=a\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+b\frac{\partial}{\partial y}-2(z+1)(\mu+2)\frac{\partial}{\partial z},$$

where $a=x(1+\sqrt{-1-z})+f$ *and* $b=y(1-\sqrt{-1-z})+r$, f,r *being smooth functions of* z *on* U.

Proof. Observe that the condition on k and (3.8) imply that μ fulfills the same condition. Furthermore, we have $Z(\lambda)=0$ for all $Z\in\mathcal{D}$. If $\mu=-2$, from (2.10) we have $\xi(\lambda)=0$ which implies λ constant and so k constant.

Now, we assume $\mu \neq -2$. By Proposition 5.1, given an orthonormal local frame of the type $(\xi, X, \varphi X)$ with X eigenvector di h' corresponding to the eigenfunction λ, we get $[X, \varphi X] = -\frac{\varphi X(\lambda)}{2\lambda} X + \frac{X(\lambda)}{2\lambda}\varphi X$ which implies $[X, \varphi X] = 0$. Consequently, fixed a point $p \in M^3$, there exist coordinates $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{t})$ on an open neighbourhood V of p such that

$$X = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}}, \quad \varphi X = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = a\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} + b\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}} + c\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{t}},$$

where a, b, c are smooth functions on V with $c \neq 0$ everywhere on V. From the conditions $[X, \xi] \in \mathcal{D}$ and $[\varphi X, \xi] \in \mathcal{D}$ we deduce that $\frac{\partial c}{\partial \bar{x}} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial c}{\partial \bar{y}} = 0$. Therefore, the brackets of the vector field $W := c\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{t}}$ with the other two coordinate vector fields vanish, thus obtaining coordinates (x', y', t') on an open neighbourhood U' of p in V such that $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x'}$, $\varphi X = \frac{\partial}{\partial y'}$ and $W = \frac{\partial}{\partial t'}$. Thus on U' we have $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}}$, $\varphi X = \frac{\partial}{\partial y'} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}}$ and $\xi = a\frac{\partial}{\partial x'} + b\frac{\partial}{\partial y'} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t'}$, as it follows from the fact that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} = W = c\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{t}} = \xi - a\frac{\partial}{\partial x'} - b\frac{\partial}{\partial y'}$. Moreover, $\lambda|_{U'}$ depends only on t' and the second equation in (2.10) reads

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dt'} = -\lambda(\mu + 2), \tag{5.2}$$

since $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x'} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial y'} = 0$. Next, considering the vector field $W' := \frac{1}{\mu+2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t'}$, since μ does not depend on x' and y', we have $[X, W'] = 0$ and $[\varphi X, W'] = 0$. It follows that it is possible to find a chart $\{U, (x, y, z')\}$ at p in U' such that $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, $\varphi X = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $W' = \frac{\partial}{\partial z'}$ and $\xi = a\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (\mu + 2)\frac{\partial}{\partial z'}$, again denoting by a, b the restriction to U of these functions. With respect to these coordinates, equation (5.2) becomes $d\lambda/dz' = -\lambda$, from which we get $\lambda = c'e^{-z'}$, with $c' > 0$ a real constant. Hence, $k = -1 - ce^{-2z'}$ for some real constant $c > 0$. Finally, the substitution $z = -1 - ce^{-2z'}$ gives the desired chart $\{U, (x, y, z)\}$ at p. To conclude the proof, it remains to compute the functions a, b. To do this, let us explicit the brackets $[\xi, \varphi X]$ and $[\xi, X]$, obtaining

$$[\xi, X] = -\frac{\partial a}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial b}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \qquad [\xi, \varphi X] = -\frac{\partial a}{\partial y}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial b}{\partial y}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

On the other side, by using Proposition 5.1, we have

$$[\xi, X] = -(1 + \lambda)X, \qquad [\xi, \varphi X] = -(1 - \lambda)\varphi X.$$

Comparing these relations with the previous, we get

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = 1 + \lambda, \qquad \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial b}{\partial x} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial b}{\partial y} = 1 - \lambda.$$

The integration of this system yields $a = x(1 + \sqrt{-1 - z}) + f$ and $b = y(1 - \sqrt{-1 - z}) + r$, where f, r are arbitrary smooth functions of z on U. □

Also in this case, we may build local models for almost Kenmotsu generalized $(k, \mu)'$-spaces with $dk \wedge \eta = 0$, $k < -1$ and $\mu \neq -2$. To this purpose, we take $M^3 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | z < -1\}$, considering three given smooth functions $\mu, f, r : M \to \mathbb{R}$ depending on z such that $\mu \neq -2$ and the following vector fields

$$e_1 := \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad e_2 := \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad e_3 := a\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2(z + 1)(\mu + 2)\frac{\partial}{\partial z},$$

where $a(x, y, z) = x(1 + \sqrt{-1 - z}) + f(z)$ and $b(x, y, z) = y(1 - \sqrt{-1 - z}) + r(z)$. The structure tensor fields φ, ξ, η, g are defined by $\xi = e_3$, $\eta = -\frac{1}{2(1+z)(\mu+2)}dz$ and, putting

$c = 2(1+z)(\mu+2)$,

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a/c \\ 0 & 1 & b/c \\ a/c & b/c & (1+a^2+b^2)/c^2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -b/c \\ 1 & 0 & a/c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can easily prove that M endowed with this structure is in fact an almost Kenmotsu manifold. Furthermore, we note that e_1, e_2, e_3 are g-orthonormal vector fields for which $\varphi e_1 = e_2$ and, setting $\lambda = \sqrt{-1-z}$, the following relations hold

$$[e_1, e_2] = 0, \quad [e_1, e_3] = (1+\lambda)e_1, \quad [e_2, e_3] = (1-\lambda)e_2. \tag{5.3}$$

Computing the tensor field h', we get $h'e_1 = \lambda e_1$ and $h'e_2 = -\lambda e_2$. From this it follows that the Levi-Civita connection of g, computed by means of the Koszul's formula and (5.3), verifies the equations stated in Proposition 5.1 with $X = e_1$. Finally, the direct computation of the curvature tensor of g shows that $(M^3, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an almost Kenmotsu generalized $(k,\mu)'$-space with $k = z$ and $dk \wedge \eta = 0$.

6. FURTHER CHARACTERIZATIONS AND LOCAL MODELS

This section is devoted to obtain, using Darboux-like coordinates, another explicit local description of the almost Kenmotsu generalized (k,μ) and $(k,\mu)'$-manifolds with $dk \wedge \eta = 0$. To this purpose, we need the following useful property.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $(M^3, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be either an almost Kenmotsu generalized (k,μ)-space or an almost Kenmotsu generalized $(k,\mu)'$-space with $dk \wedge \eta = 0$ and $k < -1$. Then the leaves of the canonical foliation of M are flat Kählerian manifolds.*

Proof. The leaves of $\mathcal{D}$, endowed with the induced almost Hermitian structure (J, G), are obviously Kähler manifolds, because they are almost Kähler of dimension 2. Let M' be one of them. In order to prove the flatness of (M', G), we consider the Weingarten operator A of M' given by $AX = -X + \varphi hX = -X - h'X$. For a unit vector field $X \in [\lambda]$ (or $X \in [\lambda]'$), by using the Gauss equation, the sectional curvature K' of G is given by

$$K'(X, \varphi X) = K(X, \varphi X) + 1 - \lambda^2.$$

Now, using Proposition 4.1 for the case of a generalized (k,μ)-almost Kenmotsu manifold and Proposition 5.1 for the case of a generalized $(k,\mu)'$-almost Kenmotsu manifold, since $X(\lambda) = \varphi X(\lambda) = 0$, one gets

$$R(X, \varphi X)\varphi X = -(1-\lambda^2)X.$$

So, $K(X, \varphi X) = g(R(X, \varphi X)\varphi X, X) = -(1-\lambda^2)$ which implies $K'(X, \varphi X) = 0$. □

Now, we state the following characterization.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $(M^3, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost Kenmotsu manifold with $h \neq 0$, and $k, \mu \in \mathfrak{F}(M)$ such that $dk \wedge \eta = 0$. Then, the following two statements are equivalent:*

a) M is an almost Kenmotsu generalized (k,μ)-space.

b) For any point $p \in M$, there exists an open neighbourhood U of p with coordinates x^1, x^2, t such that $k = -1 - e^{-4t}$, μ only depends on t and the tensor fields of the structure are expressed in the following way

$$\varphi = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \varphi^i_j dx^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \quad \xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \eta = dt, \quad g = dt \otimes dt + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j, \tag{6.1}$$

where φ^i_j, g_{ij} are functions only of t. Moreover, the unique non-zero component of the fundamental 2-form Φ is Φ_{12} given by

$$\Phi_{12} = e^{2t},$$

and on U the tensor fields h and $B := \varphi h$ may be written as

$$h = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} h^i_j dx^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \qquad B = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} B^i_j dx^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \tag{6.2}$$

where h^i_j, B^i_j are functions of t. Finally, the components of the three $(1,1)$-tensor fields φ, h, B satisfy the condition $\sum_s B^i_s B^s_j = e^{-4t}\delta^i_j$ and the following system of differential equations

$$\frac{d\varphi^i_j}{dt} = 2h^i_j, \quad \frac{dh^i_j}{dt} = 2\lambda^2\varphi^i_j - 2h^i_j - \mu B^i_j, \quad \frac{dB^i_j}{dt} = \mu h^i_j - 2B^i_j, \tag{6.3}$$

where $\lambda = e^{-2t}$.

Proof. Let M be an almost Kenmotsu generalized (k,μ)-space. Owing to the integrabiliy of $\mathcal{D}$ and $[\xi]$, the decomposition $TM = \mathcal{D} \oplus [\xi]$ implies that any point of M admits a coordinate neighbourhood U of the form $U' \times\,]-\varepsilon,\varepsilon[$ with coordinates x, y, t, where x, y are cooordinate on U' and t on $]-\varepsilon,\varepsilon[$, such that $\xi = \partial/\partial t$ and $\eta = dt$. With respect to these coordinates, the tensor fields φ and g are expressed as in (6.1), but φ^i_j and g_{ij} are functions of x, y, t in general. Further, since $h\xi = 0$ and $B\xi = 0$, we also have (6.2) with h^i_j, B^i_j depending on the all coordinates x, y, t in general. In the coordinate neighbourhood U, (2.11) takes the form

$$\frac{\partial\varphi^i_j}{\partial t} = 2h^i_j, \quad \frac{\partial h^i_j}{\partial t} = 2\lambda^2\varphi^i_j - 2h^i_j - \mu B^i_j, \quad \frac{\partial B^i_j}{\partial t} = \mu h^i_j - 2B^i_j. \tag{6.4}$$

Now, for any fixed $t_0 \in\,]-\varepsilon,\varepsilon[$, we consider the subset $U' \times \{t_0\} \subset U$ which is an open submanifold of a leaf of $\mathcal{D}$. Then, the induced complex structure J has components $\varphi^i_j(t_0,\cdot)$ and, by virtue of Proposition 6.1, it can be assumed that x, y are chosen in such a way that on $U' \times \{t_0\}$ one has $J\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $J\frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and the induced metric G has constant components. This implies that the φ^i_j's and g_{ij}'s depend on t alone. Consequently, by (6.4), we also have that h^i_j and B^i_j are functions only of t. Since $dk \wedge \eta = 0$ and $\lambda^2 = -1 - k$, we have $\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial y} = 0$ and so from (2.9) we get $\lambda = e^{-2t}$. Hence $k = -1 - e^{-4t}$. Moreover, from (3.8) we deduce that also μ only depends on t. The components of Φ with respect to these coordinates are all zero, except for Φ_{12} which may be computed by using $d\Phi = 2\eta \wedge \Phi$, obtaining $\frac{d\Phi_{12}}{dt} = 2\Phi_{12}$. Thus we get $\Phi_{12} = ce^{2t}$, for some real constant $c \neq 0$. Up to change x, y with $x' = \sqrt{|c|}x$ and $y' = \sqrt{|c|}y$, we can take $c = 1$. In this way, we get the desired chart around p. Finally, (6.4) gives (6.3) and (2.8) gives $\sum_s B^i_s B^s_j = e^{-4t}\delta^i_j$, completing the proof of the implication a) $\Rightarrow$ b).

Conversely, suppose that M carries an almost Kenmotsu structure which is locally represented as in b). Under these hypotheses, we have to show that M verifies the generalized (k,μ)-condition. In order to do this, we notice that $X_1 = \partial/\partial x$ and $X_2 = \partial/\partial y$ are Killing vector fields, since the metric g has components which do not depend on x and y. Hence, $g(\nabla_{X_i}X_j, X_q) = 0$, for any $i, j, q \in \{1, 2\}$. Since the distribution orthogonal to $\xi = \partial/\partial t$ is spanned by X_1 and X_2, it follows that $\nabla_{X_i}X_j \in [\xi]$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, so that $B(\nabla_{X_i}X_j) = 0$. Consequently, for the Levi-Civita connection ∇ determined by g, we have

$$\nabla_{X_i}X_j = \nabla_{X_j}X_i = -g(X_i, X_j - BX_j)\xi, \quad \nabla_\xi X_i = \nabla_{X_i}\xi = X_i - BX_i, \quad \nabla_\xi\xi = 0.$$

Therefore, by (2.4), we compute

$$\begin{aligned}R(X_i,X_j)\xi &= (\nabla_{X_j}B)X_i - (\nabla_{X_i}B)X_j = \nabla_{X_j}BX_i - \nabla_{X_i}BX_j\\ &= \sum_{q=1}^{2}\Big(B_i^q\nabla_{X_j}X_q - B_j^q\nabla_{X_i}X_q\Big)\\ &= -\sum_{q=1}^{2}\Big(B_i^q g(X_j, X_q - BX_q) - B_j^q g(X_i, X_q - BX_q)\Big)\xi\\ &= -g(X_j, BX_i - B^2X_i)\xi + g(X_i, BX_j - B^2X_j)\xi = 0\end{aligned}$$

and, using (6.3),

$$\begin{aligned}R(X_i,\xi)\xi &= -\nabla_\xi\nabla_{X_i}\xi = -\nabla_\xi(X_i - BX_i) = -X_i + BX_i + \sum_{q=1}^{2}\left(\frac{dB_i^q}{dt}X_q + B_i^q\nabla_\xi X_q\right)\\ &= -X_i + BX_i + \mu hX_i - 2BX_i + BX_i - B^2X_i\\ &= (-1-\lambda^2)X_i + \mu hX_i = kX_i + \mu hX_i,\end{aligned}$$

thus concluding the proof. □

Let us consider the following three constant matrices

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -1\end{pmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}, \quad M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix},$$

a smooth function $\bar{\mu} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined on the real line with coordinate t, and the function $\bar{\lambda} = e^{-2t}$. Then, we define three functional matrices F, H, B of order 2

$$F(t) = [\varphi_j^i(t)], \quad H(t) = [h_j^i(t)], \quad B(t) = [b_j^i(t)]$$

in such a way they satisfy the following system of linear differential equations with the given initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &F' = 2H, && H' = 2\bar{\lambda}^2F - 2H - \bar{\mu}B, && B' = \bar{\mu}H - 2B,\\ &F(0) = M_2, && H(0) = -M_3, && B(0) = M_1.\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

Here A' denotes the matrix whose coefficients are the derivatives with respect to t of the entries of the matrix A.

Now, let $(f_i, h_i, b_i)_{1\le i\le 3}$ be the unique solution defined on an open interval $]a,b[$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, containing 0 of the system of linear differential equations

$$\begin{cases} f_i' = 2h_i\\ h_i' = 2\bar{\lambda}^2 f_i - 2h_i - \bar{\mu}b_i \quad i = 1,2,3\\ b_i' = \bar{\mu}h_i - 2b_i\end{cases},$$

satisfying the following initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &f_1(0) = 0, && h_1(0) = 0, && b_1(0) = 1,\\ &f_2(0) = 1, && h_2(0) = 0, && b_2(0) = 0,\\ &f_3(0) = 0, && h_3(0) = -1, && b_3(0) = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

Taking this into account, one can immediately check that

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &= f_1(t)M_1 + f_2(t)M_2 + f_3(t)M_3\\ H(t) &= h_1(t)M_1 + h_2(t)M_2 + h_3(t)M_3\\ B(t) &= b_1(t)M_1 + b_2(t)M_2 + b_3(t)M_3,\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

is the unique solution on $]a,b[$ of (6.5).

Moreover, we have

Lemma 6.1. *The matrices F, H, B are linked by the following algebraic relations*

$$\begin{array}{lll} F^2 = -I_2, & H^2 = \bar{\lambda}^2 I_2, & B^2 = \bar{\lambda}^2 I_2, \\ HF = -FH, & BF = -FB, & BH = -HB, \\ F = \bar{\lambda}^{-2} BH, & H = BF, & B = FH, \end{array} \tag{6.8}$$

where I_2 denotes the unit real matrix.

Proof. For the proof, we use the auxiliary functional matrices defined by

$$\begin{array}{lll} X_1 = F^2, & X_2 = H^2 & X_3 = B^2, \\ X_4 = HF + FH, & X_5 = BF + FB, & X_6 = BH + HB, \\ X_7 = BH - \bar{\lambda}^2 F, & X_8 = BF - H, & X_9 = FH - B. \end{array}$$

Using (6.5), it is easy to check that the following homogeneous system of linear differential equations is satisfied

$$\begin{cases} X_1' = 2X_4, \quad X_2' = 2\bar{\lambda}^2 X_4 - 4X_2 - \bar{\mu} X_6, \quad X_3' = \bar{\mu} X_6 - 4X_3 \\ X_4' = 4\bar{\lambda}^2 X_1 + 4X_2 - 2X_4 - \bar{\mu} X_5, \quad X_5' = \bar{\mu} X_4 - 2X_5 + 2X_6 \\ X_6' = 2\bar{\mu} X_2 - 2\bar{\mu} X_3 + 2\bar{\lambda}^2 X_5 - 4X_6, \\ X_7' = \bar{\mu} X_2 - \bar{\mu} X_3 - 4X_7 + 2\bar{\lambda}^2 X_8, \\ X_8' = 2\bar{\lambda}^2 X_7 - 2X_8 + \bar{\mu} X_4 - \bar{\mu} X_9, \\ X_9' = 2\bar{\lambda}^2 X_1 + 2X_2 + \bar{\mu} X_5 - \bar{\mu} X_8 - 2X_9. \end{cases} \tag{6.9}$$

with the initial conditions

$$X_1(0) = -I_2, \quad X_2(0) = I_2, \quad X_3(0) = I_2$$
$$X_4(0) = X_5(0) = X_6(0) = X_7(0) = X_8(0) = X_9(0) = O_2.$$

Since this system admits a unique solution, a simple verification shows that

$$X_1(t) = -I_2, \quad X_2(t) = \bar{\lambda}^2 I_2, \quad X_3(t) = \bar{\lambda}^2 I_2$$
$$X_4(t) = X_5(t) = X_6(t) = X_7(t) = X_8(t) = X_9(t) = O_2,$$

is the solution of (6.9) for any $t \in \,]a, b[$, which gives (6.8), completing the proof. □

Finally, for the matrix G defined on $]a, b[$ by

$$G = -M_2 F, \tag{6.10}$$

we get the property stated in the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *The functional matrix $G(t)$ is symmetric and positive definite for any $t \in \,]a, b[$ and $G(0) = I_2$. Explicitly, G is given by*

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} f_2 - f_3 & f_1 \\ f_1 & f_2 + f_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.11}$$

Proof. Applying (6.7), by definition of M_1, M_2, M_3, we obtain $G = f_1 M_3 + f_2 I_2 - f_3 M_1$, which is equivalent to the form presented in (6.11). Therefore, we immediately see that $G(t)$ is symmetric for any $t \in \,]a, b[$ and, by (6.6), it follows that $G(0) = I_2$.

In order to prove the property of G to be positive definite, we compute F^2 and, using (6.7), we find

$$F^2 = (f_1 M_1 + f_2 M_2 + f_3 M_3)^2 = (f_1^2 + f_3^2 - f_2^2) I_2,$$

which, compared with $F^2 = -I_2$ (cf. (6.8)), gives $(f_2 + f_3)(f_2 - f_3) = 1 + f_1^2$. Since $f_2(0) = 1$ and $f_3(0) = 0$, it follows that $f_2 + f_3 > 0$ and $f_2 - f_3 > 0$ at any point of $]a, b[$, so, in view of (6.11), $G(t)$ is positive definite for any $t \in \,]a, b[$. □

Let now $M =]a,b[\ \times\mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Denoting by (t,x^1,x^2) the coordinate global system induced on M by the canonical one on $\mathbb{R}^3$, we consider the $(1,1)$-type tensor field φ represented, with respect to the basis $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial t},\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right\}$, by

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi^1_1 & \varphi^1_2 \\ 0 & \varphi^2_1 & \varphi^2_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the φ^i_j's are the coefficients of the functional matrix F. Moreover, let us put

$$\xi := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \eta := dt, \quad g := dt\otimes dt + e^{2t}\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} G_{ij}dx^i\otimes dx^j,$$

where the G_{ij}'s are the coefficients of the matrix G. From Lemma 6.2 we have that g defines a Riemannian metric tensor on M. Furthermore, consider the smooth functions $\mu,\lambda : M\to\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\mu(t,x,y)=\bar{\mu}(t) \quad\text{and}\quad \lambda(t,x,y)=\bar{\lambda}(t).$$

Proposition 6.2. *The structure (φ,ξ,η,g) defines an almost Kenmotsu generalized (k,μ)-structure on M with $k=-1-e^{-4t}$ and $dk\wedge\eta=0$.*

Proof. We shall see that the equivalent condition b) in Theorem 6.1 is satisfied, choosing the neighbourhood $U=M$ for any point of M. Firstly, we prove that (φ,ξ,η,g) is an almost Kenmotsu structure on M. Since $F^2=-I_2$ (cf. (6.8)), we have $\varphi^2=-I+\eta\otimes\xi$ and $\eta(\xi)=1$. We have to check that the metric g is compatible with the almost contact structure, that is the following relation should be verified

$$g(\varphi X,\varphi Y)=g(X,Y)-\eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for any $X,Y\in\Gamma(TM)$. This is true if $Y=\xi$, since $\varphi\xi=0$ and, from the definition of η and g, one has $\eta(X)=g(X,\xi)$, for any $X\in\Gamma(TM)$. It remains only to check the compatibility condition for $X=\partial/\partial x$ and $Y=\partial/\partial y$. In fact, using (6.10) and (6.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\varphi\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\varphi\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) &= e^{2t}\sum_{r,s=1}^{2}\varphi^r_1 g_{rs}\varphi^s_2 = e^{2t}(F^TGF)^1_2 = -e^{2t}(F^TM_2F^2)^1_2 \\ &= e^{2t}(F^TM_2)^1_2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by direct calculation, we get

$$e^{2t}(F^TM_2)^1_2 = -e^{2t}(M_2F)^1_2 = e^{2t}G^1_2 = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right).$$

Now, by (6.10) and (6.8), one has

$$g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\varphi\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) = e^{2t}\sum_{r=1}^{2}\varphi^r_2 g_{1r} = e^{2t}(GF)^1_2 = e^{2t},$$

so that, taking $\varphi\xi=0$ into account, the fundamental 2-form Φ associated with our structure is given by $\Phi=2\Phi_{12}dx\wedge dy=2e^{2t}dx\wedge dy$, from which we get $d\Phi=2\eta\wedge\Phi$. Hence, (φ,ξ,η,g) is an almost Kenmotsu structure on M.

Computing the tensor field h, we see that its components with respect to fixed coordinates are the the following

$$h^i_j=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d\varphi^i_j}{dt},$$

hence they coincide exactly with the coefficients of the matrix H. Furthermore, by virtue of $B=FH$ (cf. (6.8)), the components of the tensor field φh are just the coefficients of the matrix B. Therefore, since the matrices F, H, B satisfy (6.5), the tensor fields $\varphi, h, \varphi h$ verify (6.3). Consequently, by Theorem 6.1, the considered structure is an almost Kenmotsu generalized $(-1-e^{-4t}, \mu)$-structure on M, and the proof is complete. $\square$

For the case of almost Kenmotsu generalized $(k, \mu)'$-spaces, we suppose $\mu \neq -2$, since if $\mu = -2$ then from (2.10) we obtain k constant and Theorem 1.1 applies. By using (2.12) and Proposition 6.1, with similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 6.1, it can be proved an analogous result. Thus, omitting the proof, we have

Theorem 6.2. *Let $(M^3, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost Kenmotsu manifold with $h \neq 0$, and $k, \mu \in \mathfrak{F}(M)$ such that $dk \wedge \eta = 0$ and $\mu \neq -2$. Then, the following two statements are equivalent:*

a) M is an almost Kenmotsu generalized $(k, \mu)'$-space.

b) For any point $p \in M$, there exists an open neighbourhood U of p with coordinates x^1, x^2, t such that μ only depends on t and, considered $f := \int(\mu+2)dt$, $k = -1-e^{-2f}$ and the tensor fields of the structure are of the form

$$\varphi = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \varphi^i_j dx^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \quad \xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \eta = dt, \quad g = dt \otimes dt + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j,$$

where φ^i_j, g_{ij} are functions only of t. Moreover, the unique non-zero component of the fundamental 2-form Φ is Φ_{12} given by

$$\Phi_{12} = e^{2t},$$

and on U the tensor fields h and h' may be written as

$$h = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} h^i_j dx^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \qquad h' = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} B^i_j dx^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i},$$

where h^i_j, B^i_j are functions of t. Finally, the components of the three $(1,1)$-tensor fields φ, h', h satisfy the condition $\sum_s B^i_s B^s_j = e^{-2f} \delta^i_j$ and the following system of differential equations

$$\frac{d\varphi^i_j}{dt} = 2h^i_j, \quad \frac{dB^i_j}{dt} = -(\mu+2)B^i_j, \quad \frac{dh^i_j}{dt} = 2\lambda^2 \varphi^i_j - (\mu+2)h^i_j, \tag{6.12}$$

where $\lambda = e^{-f}$.

Remarks. 1) As a particular case, we recover Example 6.1 from [14].
2) Clearly, a construction similar to that carried for almost Kenmotsu generalized (k, μ)-manifolds can be also made in this case, starting with the system of differential equations corresponding to (6.12).

References

[1] D. E. Blair, Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds, Progr. Math., 203, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2002.

[2] D. E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos, B. J. Papantoniou, Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition, Israel J. Math. **91** (1995), 189–214.

[3] P. Dacko and Z. Olszak, On almost cosymplectic (k, μ, ν)-spaces, in: PDEs, Submanifolds and Affine Differential Geometry, Banach Center Publications, Vol **69**, pp. 211–220, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warszawa, 2005.

[4] G. Dileo and A. M. Pastore, Almost Kenmotsu manifolds and local symmetry, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **14** (2007), 343–354.

[5] G. Dileo and A. M. Pastore, Almost Kenmotsu manifolds and nullity distributions, J. Geom. **93** (2009), 46–61.

[6] M. Falcitelli and A. M. Pastore, Almost Kenmotsu f-manifolds, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. **12** (2007), no. 1, 32–43.

[7] F. Gouli-Andreou and P. J. Xenos, A class of contact metric 3-manifolds with $\xi \in N(k, \mu)$ and k, μ functions, Algebras Groups Geom. **17** (2000), 401–407.

[8] F. Gouli-Andreou and P. J. Xenos, Two classes of conformally flat contact metric 3-manifolds, J. Geom. **64** (1999), no. 1-2, 80–88.

[9] D. Janssens and L. Vanhecke, Almost contact structures and curvatures tensors, Kodai Math. J. **4** (1981), no. 1, 1–27.

[10] K. Kenmotsu, A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, Tôhoku Math. J. **24** (1972), 93–103.

[11] T. W. Kim and H. K. Pak, Canonical foliations of certain classes of almost contact metric structures, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **21** (2005), no. 4, 841–846.

[12] T. Koufogiorgos and C. Tsichlias, On the existence of a new class of contact metric manifolds, Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. **43** (2000), no. 4, 440–447.

[13] A. M. Pastore and V. Saltarelli, Almost Kenmotsu manifolds with conformal Reeb foliation, submitted

[14] A. M. Pastore and V. Saltarelli, Generalized nullity distributions on almost Kenmotsu manifolds, Technical Report n. 23/2009, Dept. of Math., University of Bari.

[15] V. Saltarelli, Contributions to the geometry of almost Kenmotsu manifolds, Ph.D. Thesis, Dept. of Math., University of Bari, Italy (2009).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BARI, VIA E. ORABONA, 4, 70125 BARI, ITALY
E-mail address: `saltarelli@dm.uniba.it`